

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Commissioner
 US Department of Commerce
 United States Patent and Trademark
 Office, PCT
 2011 South Clark Place Room
 CP2/5C24
 Arlington, VA 22202
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE
 in its capacity as elected Office

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 March 2001 (21.03.01)	
International application No. PCT/FI00/00623	Applicant's or agent's file reference BP100017
International filing date (day/month/year) 06 July 2000 (06.07.00)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 July 1999 (08.07.99)
Applicant KARONEN, Janne et al	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

☒ in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
 26 January 2001 (26.01.01)

☐ in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

2. The election ☒ was
☐ was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer Charlotte ENGER Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL
OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

To:

BERGGREN OY AB

P.O. Box 16 *Berggren Oy Ab*

FIN-00101 Helsinki

FINLANDE 10-11-2000

#7

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02 November 2000 (02.11.00)	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
Applicant's or agent's file reference BP100017 /BT/JAS	
International application No. PCT/FI00/00623	International filing date (day/month/year) 06 July 2000 (06.07.00)
International publication date (day/month/year) Not yet published	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 July 1999 (08.07.99)
Applicant KEMIRA AGRO OY et al	

1. The applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt (except where the letters "NR" appear in the right-hand column) by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to the earlier application(s) indicated below. Unless otherwise indicated by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, or by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
2. This updates and replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents.
3. An asterisk(*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b). In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
4. The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which was not received by the International Bureau or which the applicant did not request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau, as provided by Rule 17.1(a) or (b), respectively. In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

<u>Priority date</u>	<u>Priority application No.</u>	<u>Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office</u>	<u>Date of receipt of priority document</u>
08 July 1999 (08.07.99)	991563	FI	06 Octo 2000 (06.10.00)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Authorized officer

Carlos Naranjo

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

CN

PATENT COOPERATION TREA

WO 01/04048
PCT/FI00/00623

PCT

NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:
BERGGREN OY AB
P.O. Box 16
FIN-00101 Helsinki
FINLANDE

Berggren Oy Ab

25 -01- 2001

BT JAS

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 18 January 2001 (18.01.01)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference BP100017		IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/FI00/00623	International filing date (day/month/year) 06 July 2000 (06.07.00)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 July 1999 (08.07.99)
Applicant KEMIRA AGRO OY et al		

1. Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice:
AG,AU,BZ,DZ,KP,KR,MZ,US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:
AE,AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BR,BY,CA,CH,CN,CR,CU,CZ,DE,DK,DM,EA,EE,EP,ES,FI,GB,GD,GE,GH,GM,HR,HU,ID,IL,IN,IS,JP,KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MA,MD,MG,MK,MN,MW,MX,NO,NZ,OA,PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,ZA,ZW
The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).
3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 18 January 2001 (18.01.01) under No. WO 01/04048

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office.

For further important information on the time limits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer J. Zahra
Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 00/00623

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
IPC7: C01B 25/28, C05B 7/00, C05B 11/10, C05C 9/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)		
IPC7: C01B, C05B, C05C, C05G		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
WPIL, EDOC, JAPIO, CA		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	CA 939876 A (CARLSON, RONALD H. ET AL), 15 January 1974 (15.01.74), page 1, line 1 - page 4, line 16, claims 1-4 --	1-19
A	US 3333939 A (C.H. DAVIS ET AL), 1 August 1967 (01.08.67), column 2, line 58 - column 4, line 67, figure 1 --	3,12-14
A	US 5492553 A (RICHARD P. VETANOVETZ ET AL), 20 February 1996 (20.02.96), column 1, line 54 - column 4, line 48; column 5, line 26 - column 6, line 14, abstract --	4,19
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report
26 Sept 2000		24 -10- 2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86		Authorized officer Ingrid Gröndfelt/MP Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 00/00623

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Derwent's abstract, No 0 -29035B/15, week 0 15, ABSTRACT OF SU, 608-519 (POLYAKOV EV), 13 April 1978 (13.04.78) -- -----	12-14,19

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

01/08/00

International application No.


PCT/FI 00/00623

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
CA	939876	A	15/01/74	NONE	
US	3333939	A	01/08/67	NONE	
US	5492553	A	20/02/96	AT 160138 T	15/11/97
				AU 663306 B	05/10/95
				AU 1354892 A	07/09/92
				CA 2101554 A	01/08/92
				DE 69223136 D,T	02/04/98
				DK 569513 T	27/04/98
				EP 0569513 A,B	18/11/93
				SE 0569513 T3	
				EP 0771774 A	07/05/97
				ES 2109345 T	16/01/98
				GR 3025373 T	27/02/98
				TR 28925 A	05/08/97
				US 5171349 A	15/12/92
				US 5395418 A	07/03/95
				US 5454850 A	03/10/95
				WO 9213813 A	20/08/92

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



14

Applicant's or agent's file reference BP100017/BT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/FI00/00623	International filing date (day/month/year) 06/07/2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 08/07/1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C01B25/28		
Applicant KEMIRA AGRO OY et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.



2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

- ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 26/01/2001	Date of completion of this report 01.10.2001
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized officer Grundke, H Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8564 

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FI00/00623

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, pages:

1-14 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-19 as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/2-2/2 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/FI00/00623

☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims 2-12, 15-18
	No: Claims 1, 13, 14, 19
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims
	No: Claims 2-12, 15-18
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims 1-19
	No: Claims

- 2. Citations and explanations**
see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/FI00/00623

Item V:

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: CA-A-939876

The manufacture of ammonium phosphate from urea and phosphoric acid containing solutions is known from D1 (see in particular the passages as mentioned in the Int. Search Report as to D1). In one embodiment (D1, page 3, ll. 15-20) a solid ammonium phosphate can be obtained.

It is assumed that since the process of production disclosed in D1 essentially is the same as that claimed according to present claims 1 and 19, also the resulting products are the same as those claimed in present claim 13. At present no unambiguous distinguishing product parameters can be seen.

Moreover, since claim 13 in one embodiment is interpreted as being directed to ammoniumphosphate per se (irrespective of its method of production), this claim is anticipated by ammoniumphosphate.

Also the products of D1 are used for the production of fertilizers.

Potentially by incorporation of further disclosed features in the dependent claims into the independent claims unobvious effects vis-à-vis the art cited can be realized.

However, this at present has not been convincingly substantiated.

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
18 January 2001 (18.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/04048 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: C01B 25/28, C05B 7/00, 11/10, C05C 9/00 (74) Agent: BERGGREN OY AB; P.O. Box 16, FIN-00101 Helsinki (FI).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/FI00/00623 (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (22) International Filing Date: 6 July 2000 (06.07.2000)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 991563 8 July 1999 (08.07.1999) FI (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): KEMIRA AGRO OY [FI/FI]; Porkkalankatu 3, FIN-00180 Helsinki (FI).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): KARONEN, Janne [FI/FI]; Puustellinpolku 12 D 25, FIN-00410 Helsinki (FI). WECKMAN, Anders [FI/FI]; Mikkeläntie 15 D 8, FIN-02770 Espoo (FI).

Published:

— With international search report.

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: METHOD FOR TREATING FERTILIZER PROCESS SOLUTIONS

(57) Abstract: A method for manufacturing a solid product containing nitrogen and phosphor, particularly a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product, in which method the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated. Water then evaporates from the solution and the urea in the solution decomposes into ammonia and carbon dioxide. The carbon dioxide exits the reactor together with water vapour; the generated ammonia neutralizes the phosphoric acid. A suspension containing ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate is obtained, which is solidified, dried, crushed, ground and/or granulated. The end product as such can be used as a fertilizer or it can be used as part of a mixed fertilizer.

WO 01/04048 A1

METHOD FOR TREATING FERTILIZER PROCESS SOLUTIONS

ins A1

5 The invention relates to a method for manufacturing a solid product that contains nitrogen and phosphor, especially a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product from a solution containing urea and phosphoric acid.

10 The invention is also directed at an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product manufactured by the method and its use as a fertilizer either alone or as part of a mixed fertilizer. The invention is also directed at a method for simultaneously manufacturing urea phosphate and a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate containing product.

15 In the fertilizer process, solutions are formed and used which contain urea and phosphoric acid. As an example, the mother liquid of the urea phosphate process, which contains urea and phosphoric acid, as well as the urea containing scrubber solution of the NPK process to which phosphoric acid has been added, can be mentioned.

20 In the manufacture of urea phosphate, the impurities originating in the urea and the phosphoric acid used as raw material mainly remain in the mother liquid. We have to accept a low yield percentage of the end product in particular, when we want to manufacture clean, completely water-soluble urea phosphate. In that case, as much as 20 to 40% of the raw material mentioned above can remain in the mother liquid in addition to impurities. Therefore, the productivity of the urea phosphate process is to a great extent affected by how well we can utilize the raw material that remains in the mother liquid.

25 Various methods have been tested to utilize the mother liquid. For example, we have offered mother liquid as such to fertilizer factories that manufacture field fertilizers. However, mother liquid contains a lot of water, so that the storage and transportation costs of the solution become high, especially if the fertilizer factory is not located in the immediate vicinity of the urea phosphate factory. Furthermore, the hydrologic balance of fertilizer factories is not apt to allow additional water into the process without technical problems that mainly concern granulation, and without economic loss. During storage and transportation, salts can also precipitate from the mother liquid, causing problems in tanks when settling, and blocking tube systems.

30

As the viscosity of an acidic solution grows when concentrating and the solution becomes very viscous and extremely difficult to treat, concentrating the solution by evaporating water does not solve the problem.

Patent publication US 4 461 913 describes an improvement on the urea phosphate process, according to which it was possible to decrease the formation of precipitate by adding sulphuric acid, and to slightly increase the performance of the urea phosphate process; however, this improvement did not eliminate the actual problem with the mother liquid. Part of the raw material of the process still remains in the mother liquid.

Mother liquid has also been neutralized by liquefied ammonia and the formed precipitate has been filtered, resulting in a solvent fertilizer and a metalline precipitate (J. Agric. Food Chem., Vol. 29, No. 2, 1981). However, liquefied ammonia is toxic and dangerous to handle. Its safe storage, transportation, and use require special containers and treatment equipment that meet the safety criteria, making it difficult to use safely and increasing costs. The solid residual precipitate is still a disadvantage.

Urea phosphate has also been decomposed thermally to form ammonium polyphosphate (German Patent 2308408) or urea ammonium polyphosphate (US 3 713 802, Fert Res 1994, 39(1), 59-69), which can be used as fertilizers. These products are soluble but polyphosphates as such are not until they are hydrolysed to form orthophosphate, a form useful for plants. This hydrolysing is dependent on external conditions and typically slow. The original material used in these processes was pure urea phosphate and not impure mother liquid.

To avoid the problem with impurities, attempts have been made to in advance purify the phosphoric acid used as raw material (Jap. pat 49-8498). However, removing impurities from phosphoric acid is a separate, expensive process.

Furthermore, attempts have been made to render mother liquid a suspension that could be used as such (CA 112:20428, PL 146113B2, Fert Res 1994, 39 (1), 59-69) by adding clay mineral and other nutrients. However, the suspension thus obtained still continues a lot of water, limiting its use to the immediate vicinity. Moreover, special equipment is required to spread it in the fields.

The present invention is directed at a method for manufacturing a solid product containing nitrogen and phosphor, especially an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product from process solutions containing urea and

phosphoric acid; for example, from the mother liquid of a urea phosphate process or from the scrubber solution of the NPK process to which phosphoric acid has been added, by heating the said solution in a reactor, so that the urea contained by the mother liquid is decomposed into ammonia and carbon dioxide. The carbon dioxide and the forming water vapour are evenly removed from the reactor by suitable agitation and, at the same time, effervescence/foaming is prevented.

The ammonia generated by the decomposition neutralizes the phosphoric acid in the mother liquid, so that a suspension containing ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate is generated, possibly also containing other salts, phosphates or sulphates, for example, depending on the origin of the initial material used.

The suspension thus obtained is solidified by cooling, dried, crushed, ground, and/or granulated to form a product that contains nitrogen and phosphor, an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product in particular.

The invention is also directed at a solid product containing nitrogen and phosphor, manufactured by the method, an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product in particular, and its use as a fertilizer either alone or as part of a mixed fertilizer.

We observed that the urea and the phosphoric acid of various process solutions containing urea and/or impure phosphoric acid, as well as the other salts possibly contained by them as impurities, such as sodium salt, potassium salt, calcium salt, magnesium salt, iron salt, manganese salt, could be utilized by the method according to the invention by manufacturing from them a solid product that dissolved well in water and almost completely in an ammonium citrate solution and contained nitrogen and phosphor, an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product in particular. The product as such can be used as a fertilizer or it can be used as raw material in manufacturing mixed fertilizers.

In addition to the mother liquid of the urea phosphate process and the phosphoric acid treated scrubber solution of the NPK process, for example, agricultural residual solutions containing urea, phosphoric acid solutions generated by the surface finish of metal, the solids-bearing phosphoric acid suspensions in the sedimentation of phosphoric acid, or their mixtures are suitable to be used as initial solutions in the method according to the invention. Phosphates precipitated by water purification can also be utilized as part of the feed material.

When e.g. the mother liquid of the urea phosphate process is heated, the following actions can be effected at the same time: 1) evaporation of water, 2) decomposition of urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide, and 3) a neutralizing reaction of the generated ammonia with phosphoric acid. Adjusting the temperature and the reaction time can control these three phases of reaction.


The rate of decomposition of urea mainly depends on the temperature and the pH of the solution. Although urea is decomposed at relatively low temperatures, beginning from about 50 °C, the reaction time becomes fairly long, when considering practical applications; especially, if the initial solution has high water content. Raising the temperature to about 80 °C guarantees a sufficient reaction rate and evaporation speed of water.

On the other hand, when the temperature increases to more than 125 °C, this causes the formation of unwanted polyphosphates, which as such are not usable as plant nutrients but require a hydrolysis before use. The formation of polyphosphates can be avoided by adjusting the temperature of the reaction solution to about 110-115 °C maximum, for example, by adjusting the pressure used for heating and the heating time. Using over-pressure or vacuum can enhance the adjustment of the reaction temperature and, at the same time, that of the reaction time, when needed. By means of conventional means, the process can use vacuum to evaporate water, so that we can operate at a lower temperature than in normal pressure. Correspondingly, urea can be decomposed in over-pressure with lesser evaporation of water.


In order to manufacture a solid end product containing ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate by using the method according to the invention, the molar ratio (N/P) of the nitrogen of the urea and the phosphorus of the phosphoric acid in the initial solution must be 0.85 at the minimum. If the molar ratio is lower, solidification becomes considerably more difficult or it does not occur at all.

In the following, the various stages of the method according to the invention are presented by simplified reaction formulas (1) – (3), and the formation of polyphosphate by formula (4).

Evaporation of water:




Decomposition of urea:



Formation of ammonium phosphate:



5 Formation of polyphosphate:



20 The method according to the invention can be applied both in batches and as a continuous process either in single or multiple stages. When the method is applied in batches, the molar ratio of the ammonia and the phosphoric acid ($\text{NH}_3:\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$) at the end of the neutralizing reaction is about 1, increasing, together with the fine-grained compounds, such as iron phosphates that are generated at the beginning of the neutralizing phase, the viscosity of the formed suspension into the range ($>1000\text{cP}$), in which the suspension is at its toughest and fairly difficult to treat. The removal of water vapour and carbon dioxide from the suspension also becomes more difficult, the risk of effervescence and foaming grows and the water content of the suspension remains fairly high.

These problems are avoided in the continuous process. The neutralizing reaction in particular can be continued past the molar ratio $\text{NH}_3:\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 = 1$, and in this way we can decrease the viscosity of the suspension.

20 It is most preferable to apply the method according to the invention as a continuous, two-phase process, whereby the two-phase aspect may also refer to the use of several successive reactors placed in series. The advantage is mainly achieved because of an easier gas treatment and a superior control of viscosity.

25 In that case, an initial solution, such as the mother liquid of the urea phosphate process, is heated in a first overflow reactor at $80\text{-}125^\circ\text{C}$, preferably at about $90\text{-}100^\circ\text{C}$, until the majority of the water contained by the initial solution has evaporated and the urea has decomposed, forming about $2/3$ of the ammonia required for neutralizing the free phosphoric acid in the solution.

30 As a consequence of the neutralizing reaction, a suspension containing ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate is generated, the water content of which is $15\text{-}20\%$ and which is still so acidic ($\text{pH} < 4$) that the ammonia remains in

the form of a dissolved ammonium ion. The viscosity of the suspension is typically less than 1000 cP.

At this stage, only water vapour and carbon dioxide in the form of gases exit the reactor. To prevent foaming/effervescence and to ensure a controlled removal of gases, the reaction mixture is constantly agitated and, when needed, a small amount of a conventional anti-foaming agent can be added. By adding water, an increase in viscosity to a harmful range can be prevented, as well as an excessive increase in the temperature.

The suspension is conveyed from the first reactor to a second reactor where heating is continued at about 110-115 °C, until the water content of the suspension is low (<10% H₂O), pH about 6-6.5, which corresponds to a molar ratio of about 1.3-1.4 of ammonia and phosphoric acid (NH₃:H₃PO₄). In that case, the viscosity of the suspension is as low as possible. In addition to water vapour and carbon dioxide, some ammonia gas is released from the reactor, which can be recovered by a scrubber by washing it with mother liquid and returning it to the first reactor.

As evaporating water binds the excess heat, the reaction is safe. However, both reactors must have sufficient mixing in order for the generated gas to easily exit the reaction mixture. The boiling point of the mixture determines the temperature of the reactor, being about 100 °C in the first reactor and about 110-115 °C in the second reactor.

By suitably selecting the retention time, the vapour temperature, and the heating surface area, the three reactions mentioned above can be adjusted to take place as desired. In the first phase, the solution is concentrated to a concentration in which its water content is about 20-40%, and the molar ratio NH₃:H₃PO₄ is about 0.7-0.8, and in the second phase to a concentration in which its water content is about 5-10% and the molar ratio NH₃:H₃PO₄ about 1.3-1.4.

Finally, the generated, strong solution is solidified by any conventional method used for the manufacture of fertilizers. A sheet-iron belt that can be cooled or heated is very well adapted to solidification. If we want to continue the reaction on the belt, the forward end of the belt can be heated at the same time as its tail is cooled.

The product is preferably and quickly solidified, when the suspension has been spread on the sheet-iron belt or plate as a thin layer and it is cooled to below 60 °C. Solidification takes place already in 1-5 minutes, if the thickness of the suspension layer is 2 mm, and in about 20-30 minutes, when it is 10-20 mm.

The solid, plate-like product can be dried, crushed, ground and/or granulated and, when needed, classified by screening; the too rough and fine-grained parts are returned to the second reactor. In addition to the end product, or instead of it, other nutrients or, for example, salts that bind water can be fed into the second reactor.

- 5 The end product as such can be used as NP fertilizer, or it can be used for the manufacture of NPK fertilizer, for example, preferably by feeding into the second reactor a suitable source of potassium, such as KCl, K_2SO_4 or K_2CO_3 . Potassium carbonate also has an advantageous effect that enhances neutralization.

- 10 $CaCO_3$ or CaO are also effective both as nutrients and additive neutralizers. Furthermore, they advance the drying of the product by binding water. Correspondingly, hemi hydrate $CaSO_4 \cdot 0.5 H_2O$ or kieserite (kiseriitti) $MgSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ both bind water and give nutrients.

- 15 The mother liquid contains most of the other nutrients (Ca, Mg, S) and micro-nutrients (B, Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Mo, Cl) required, to a sufficient extent, but even if the content of some nutrient were too low, they are easy to add to the second reactor.

If there is a need to bind part of the metals of the mother liquid, for example the iron, to a soluble form, the reaction temperature can be increased to 125 °C so that the desired part of the phosphor is in the form of pyrophosphate, which makes these metals complex.

- 20 The physical and chemical properties of the solid end products according to the invention meet the quality requirements made of typical field fertilizers.

- 25 Table 1 shows the chemical analysis of the mother liquid of two different urea phosphate processes. Raw material of different origins was used in the processes. The results give a picture of typical amounts of impurities contained by the mother liquid.

Table 1 The chemical analyses of the mother liquid

Compound	Mother liquid 1	Mother liquid 2
Urea-N (w-%)	10.8	11.1
NH ₄ -N (w-%)	0.48	1.5
P ₂ O ₅ -tot (w-%)	27.8	27.8
Cl (w-%)	<0.02	0.02
F (w-%)	0.87	0.81
Al (w-%)	0.22	0.35
Cr (ppm)	8.8	160
Fe (w-%)	0.88	0.66
Mg (w-%)	1.8	1.1
S (w-%)	1.2	1.3
H ₂ O-KF (w-%)	26.7	28.2

Drawings

Flow chart 1 describes the simultaneous manufacture of urea phosphate and an ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product.

Flow chart 2 describes in detail the manufacture of the ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product.

The following examples describe in detail the various alternative implementations of the invention without limiting it.

Example 1a

The initial material was urea phosphate mother liquid 2, the chemical analysis of which is presented in Table 1.

The test used a 5-litre overflow reactor that was provided with agitation and jacketing. 5.1kg of mother liquid with a pH of 2.1 as a 10% solution and a water content of 27.4%, and anti-foaming agent Fennodefo 380 were added to the reactor. First, the temperature of the mother liquid was raised to 100 °C. During the first hour, the temperature was further raised to 111 °C. Along with the decomposition of the urea, the pH increased from 2.1 to 2.7. During the second hour, the temperature was kept stable and the pH increased to 5.4. A little water (0.35kg) was added because of excessive evaporation.

The test was continued on a continuous-action basis by feeding mother liquid from the urea phosphate process into the reactor at a rate of about 2kg/hour. At first, a small amount of water was added (0.2kg). After a three-hour run, the conditions were stabilized (111 °C, pH 5.9, 1500cP, 9.9% H₂O). After a one-hour smooth running, the feeding was stopped and the formed suspension was allowed to continue mixing at the same temperature. After twenty minutes, the suspension was clearly thinner (111 °C, pH 6.2, 400cP, 7.8% moisture). When the evaporation time was extended, the viscosity began to increase again and after 40 minutes we started to cool the suspension (111 °C, pH 6.4, 1000cP, 7.6% moisture). When the agitation was stopped, the gas formation was so minor that the swelling of the suspension was no longer a problem.

The suspension was poured on two plates, one of which was cooled (23 °C) with water from below and the other one was at room temperature without cooling. The suspension on the cooled pan started hardening after 11 minutes (47 °C) and had hardened after 27 minutes (35 °C). The other plate was slower to cool and hardened after 64 minutes (46 °C). The solid plates were kept overnight (23 °C), crushed three times by a jaw crusher and analysed (Table 2).

Example 1b

This test was conducted in the same way as Test 1a but at a higher temperature. Adding 5kg of mother liquid to the reactor started the test. During the batch reaction (2h 40min), the temperature was raised from 100 °C to 124 °C and the pH increased from 2.1 to 5.8. The steam exiting the reactor was still neutral at the final stage.

The test was continued on a continuous-action basis by feeding about 2.8 kg of mother liquid per hour. After half an hour, 1 kg of water was added, which decreased the temperature for a while. After two hours, the conditions were stable (121 °C, pH 6, 800cP, 12.5% moisture). The reaction was continued for another 3 hours at the same temperature (120-122 °C), during which time the viscosity remained the same (800cP), the pH increased (pH 6.4), and the moisture decreased (9,7%). The measurements of the final suspension slightly changed (121 °C, pH 6.4, 1400cP, 8.1% moisture), when feeding was stopped.

The suspension was poured on two plates to form layers of about 1 cm, one of which was cooled with water (23 °C) and the other one stayed at room temperature without cooling. The product on the cooled plate had hardened after 24 minutes

(37 °C) and in the other pan after 36 minutes (49 °C). The products were kept overnight (23 °C), crushed once by a jaw crusher and analysed (Table 2).

Table 2a

The chemical analyses of the end products in Tests 1a and 1b

	Test 1a	Test 1b
N-kok %	17.0	16.5
NH ₄ -N %	10.3	10.6
Urea- N %	6.4	5.5
P ₂ O ₅ -KM %	39.3	40.0
P ₂ O ₅ -ASL	28.3	29.0
P ₂ O ₅ -VL	39.3	39.5
H ₂ O-KF %	5.8	7.6
pH	6.2	6.2

On the basis of an X-ray diffraction analysis, the end product contained mono ammonium phosphate, ammonium sulphate, and urea.

Table 2b

The physical properties of non-granulated end products

(* The sample was crushed before the analysis)

Property	Test 1a	Test 1a*	Test 1b	Test 1b*
Granular strength [N]				
Mean value	46	27	29	19
Max. 5	62	33	37	22
Min. 5	29	20	22	16
Abrasion [%]	1.5	1.4	2.1	1.1
Moisture absorption [%], 80% RH				
2 h	0.9	2.3	1.3	2.4
4 h	1.9	4.4	2.3	4.3
6 h	2.6	6.2	3.3	6.3

The tests proved that the product was hard enough to be treated and that it was not too pulverulent. The velocities of the moisture absorption were in the same order as those of typical nitrate-based NPK fertilizers.

Example 2

The test used urea phosphate mother liquid, the composition of which was.

	H ₂ O-KF	40	%
	Urea-N	10.7	%
5	NH ₄ -N	0.34	%
	P ₂ O ₅ -tot	24.1	%
	P ₂ O ₅ -ws	23.2	%
	pH (10% aqueous solution)	1.7	

75 g of CaCO₃ were added to 1000 g of mother liquid and it was heated at 100-114 °C for one hour. The final moisture was 13% and the pH in a 10% solution was 5.0. The suspension was dried for 3.5 hours at 60 °C.

The chemical analysis of the product:

	H ₂ O-KF	3.0	%
	Urea-N	10.3	%
15	NH ₄ -N	5.3	%
	P ₂ O ₅ -km	37.7	%
	P ₂ O ₅ -vl	25.4	% (67%)
	P ₂ O ₅ -asl	37.7	% (100%)
	pH (10%)	4.9	

Example 3

A continuous test was conducted by using a 5-litre reactor and by continuously feeding to the heated reactor the same mother liquid as in Example 2, and by simultaneously feeding calcium carbonate. The viscosity was adjusted by adding water. No ammonia could be observed in the exhaust gases. The conditions during the run were as follows:

	Reaction time	3.5 h
	Reactor temperature	105-110 °C
	Reactor pH	4.5-5.0
	Moisture of suspension	10-12%
30	Consumption of carbonate	135g/1000g ML
	Added water	185g/1000g ML
	Viscosity	300 cP

The suspension was dried at 60 °C and the chemical analysis of the dried product was:

	H ₂ O-KF	0.2-1.0	%	
	Urea-N	9.2	%	
5	NH ₄ -N	6.1	%	
	P ₂ O ₅ -km	36.4	%	
	P ₂ O ₅ -vl	28.7	%	(78%)
	P ₂ O ₅ -asl	36.4	%	(100%)
	pH (10%)	4.9		
10	N/P ₂ O ₅ ratio	0.420		

Example 4a

Urea phosphate mother liquid (1.865 kg) of the same quality as in Example 2 was fed into a 2.5-litre reactor that was provided with agitation and heating. Potassium carbonate (417 g) was continuously fed into the reactor and the suspension was agitated at 60 °C for 1.5 hours, so that the pH of the suspension (a 10% aqueous solution) increased from 2 to 4.1. The suspension was dried on a plate (93 °C) overnight. Table 3 shows the chemical analysis of the product.

Example 4b

Urea phosphate mother liquid (1.43 kg) of the same quality as in Example 2 was fed into a 2.5-litre reactor provided with agitation and heating. Potassium carbonate (237g) was fed into the reactor and the suspension was agitated at 60 °C for 40 minutes, so that the pH (a 10% aqueous solution) increased from 2 to 2.7. The suspension was dried in a pan (93 °C) overnight. Table 3 shows the analyses of the end product.

Table 3

The chemical analysis of the end product in tests 4a and 4b

	Test 4a	Test 4b
N-kok %	12.4	14.6
NH ₄ -N %	4.2	5.8
Urea- N %	8.2	8.8
P ₂ O ₅ -KM %	33.4	36.1
P ₂ O ₅ -ASL	33.4	36.1
P ₂ O ₅ -VL	26.2	28.2
K ₂ O-KM	18.6	14.2
H ₂ O-KF %	3.3	0.48
pH	6.6	6.5

Example 5

- 5 A product suspension (111 °C, pH 5.6, 1400 cP, moisture of 11.9%) that had been made on the continuous-action principle as in Example 1a by using a mother liquid of the same quality as in Test 2, but in a more diluted form (46% of H₂O, 8.9% of N, 20.4% of P₂O₅, pH 1.7) was allowed to cool to 35 °C and solidify on a plate as a 1.5 cm layer for 35 minutes.
- 10 2% of CaO was added to one part and it was ground and fed through an aperture plate. The other part was treated by a corresponding method but without adding CaO. In the starting position, the cooled product contained 10% of moisture. After three hours of drying (60 °C) the sample that included calcium oxide contained 4.7% of moisture and the reference sample, without the addition of CaO, contained
- 15 5.2% of moisture.

The untreated sample released all water (an end moisture of 0.3%), when drying was continued for 19 hours. The sample that had been treated with calcium oxide bound part of the water into a non-volatile form (an end moisture of 3.5%).

Example 6

- 20 As starting material, a mixture was used, which contained scrubber solution from the NPK fertilizer process, and phosphoric acid.

A solution having the composition of a scrubber solution from an NPK process was prepared by preparing a sludge from 2 kg of water and 2 kg of urea-based fertilizer containing 17.2% P_2O , 15.4% K_2 , 13.1% N (urea) and 1.7% N (ammonium). To this solution (sludge), fertilizer grade phosphoric acid was added (Siilinjärvi; 53.6% P_2O_5) and the thus obtained starting mixture was heated in a mixed reactor for the evaporation of water during 3 hours, at which point a temperature of 115 °C was reached. The moisture of the obtained sludge was 16% H_2O , its viscosity was 20 cP and its pH was 2.5.

To the reactor, 1.8 kg of the starting material mixture was added and the heating was continued for 3.8 hours to a final temperature of 115 °C, at which stage the moisture of the obtained sludge was 12% H_2O , the viscosity was 3,500 cP and the pH was 4.0. In order to prevent foaming, an anti-foaming agent (Fennodefo 380) had been added.

The sludge was poured on a plate for cooling, and it was immediately solidified. The cooled product was analysed without further drying and the analytical results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4

The chemical analysis of the end product of Example 6

N-tot %	6.9
NH_4 -N %	5.9
Urea-N %	1.0
P_2O_5 -KM %	40.8
P_2O_5 ASL	36.3
P_2O_5 -VL %	32.2
K_2O -KM %	7.4
H_2O -KF %	8.7
pH	3.8

Claims

1. A method for manufacturing a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product from a solution containing urea and phosphoric acid, characterized in that
- 5 a) the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated by mixing in order to evaporate water and to decompose the urea into carbon dioxide and ammonia;
- b) the formed carbon dioxide is removed;
- c) the formed ammonia is used to neutralize the phosphoric acid in the solution, and
- 10 d) the formed ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate suspension is further processed to form a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product.
2. A method according to Claim 1, characterized in that in the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid, the molar ration of the nitrogen of the urea and
- 15 the phosphor of the phosphoric acid (N/P) is > 0.85 .
3. A method according to Claim 1 or 2, characterized in that the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is the mother liquid of a urea phosphate process.
4. A method according to Claims 1 to 3, characterized in that solid matter, such as carbonate, sulphate, and oxide of alkali metals or alkaline earth metals and/or chloride, is added to the reaction mixture to partly neutralize the solution, to bind water and/or to add nutrients to the end product.
5. A method according to Claim 1, 2 or 4, characterized in that the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is the scrubber solution of a urea-based NPK
- 25 process, which contains or to which has been added phosphoric acid.
6. A method according to Claims 1 to 5, characterized in that the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated at 50-125 °C.
7. A method according to Claim 6, characterized in that said solution is heated until the moisture of the formed suspension is 15-20%.

sub A 2
20

8. A method according to Claim 6 or 7, characterized in that said solution is heated until the pH of the suspension is 2.5-7.

9. A method according to Claim 6, 7 or 8, characterized in that the solution is heated until the molar ratio of the nitrogen of the ammonia and the phosphorus of the phosphate (N/P) in the suspension is about 0.1-1.5 : 1.

10. A method according to Claims 1 to 9, characterized in preferably being continuous and diphasic: in the first phase, water is evaporated in one or more reactors at about 100 °C, until the moisture of the formed suspension is < 20% and the pH is 3-5 and, in a second phase, evaporation is continued in one or more reactors at about 110-115 °C, until the moisture of the suspension is < 10% and its pH is about 6-6.5.

11. A method according to Claims 1 to 10, characterized in that the product suspension containing ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate is solidified in the form of a layer of 1-30 mm, preferably 10mm in thickness, spread on a sheet-iron belt conveyor, which can be heated and/or cooled, and which is heated and/or cooled for 0.01-2 hours, preferably at a retention time of 0.05-0.5 hours to a final temperature of < 50 °C.

12. A method according to Claims 1 to 11, characterized in that the solidified end product is dried, crushed, ground and/or granulated.

13. A solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product, characterized in being manufactured by the method according to any of the preceding Claims.

14. The use of the urea phosphate product according to Claim 13 as a fertilizer either as such or as a component of a mixed fertilizer.

15. A method according to Claim 3, characterized in that the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated at 100-115 °C.


16. A method according to Claim 3 or 15, characterized in that the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated until the moisture of the formed suspension is < 10%.

17. A method according to Claim 3, 15 or 16, characterized in that the solution is heated until the pH of the suspension is 4-6.5.

18. A method according to any of Claims 3, 15-17, characterized in that said solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated until the molar ratio of the ammonia nitrogen and the phosphate phosphor (N/P) in the suspension is about 0.85-1.5:1, preferably 1.3-1.4:1.

5 19. A method for the simultaneous manufacture of urea phosphate and a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product, in which the urea phosphate is manufactured by any known method, characterized in that the solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product is manufactured from a solution containing urea and phosphoric acid so that:

- 10 a) the solution containing urea and phosphoric acid is heated by mixing in order to evaporate water and to decompose the urea into carbon dioxide and ammonia, and
- b) the formed carbon dioxide is removed, and
- 15 c) the formed ammonia is used to neutralize the phosphoric acid in the solution, and
- d) the formed ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate suspension is further processed to form a solid ammonium phosphate and/or urea ammonium phosphate product.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

2 / 2

Flow chart 2

